# PETSY: Polymorphic Enumerative Type-Guided Synthesis

Darya Verzhbinsky, Daniel Wang Jan 19, 2021

### Consider the following function description...

concatNTimes: concatenates a list xs to itself n times

**ex. concatNTimes** "abc" 2 = "abcabc"

- In Haskell, this function can be implemented **concisely and idiomatically** without explicit recursion
  - Already existing recursive function synthesis tools for Haskell won't do
- Would be great it we a tool could "synthesize" this for us!

### Challenges with synthesizing Haskell

- Many Haskell library functions make heavy use of:
  - polymorphism
  - higher-order arguments
  - typeclasses
- This makes search space quite complex

### Synthesis problem overview



#### TYGAR vs. PETSY



- Synthesis via Petri net reachability
- Cannot synthesize programs with inner lambdas
  - **e.g.**  $xs \rightarrow map$  ( $p \rightarrow fst p + snd p$ ) xs
- Efficient but complex algorithm



- Top-down enumerative search
- Can synthesize programs with inner lambdas
- Simpler algorithm: can it compete?

• Challenge: polymorphism

## Memoization

### **Evaluation**



$$?? :: [a] \rightarrow Int \rightarrow [a]$$

#### <u>Steps:</u>

- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda



#### Steps:

- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda

$$\rightarrow$$
 (?? :: Int  $\rightarrow$  [a]

\XS



#### <u>Steps:</u>

- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda

$$xs n \rightarrow$$
 ?? :: [a]





#### Steps:

- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda





- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda





- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda





- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda



create lambda





- Steps:
- Component with matching type? 1.
- Is it a function type? Yes  $\Rightarrow$ 2. create lambda





#### <u>Steps:</u>

- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda

 Not a function type ⇒ function application, recurse on 2 subgoals
 Return :)



- 1. Component with matching type?
- Is it a function type? Yes ⇒
  create lambda

 Not a function type ⇒ function application, recurse on 2 subgoals
 Return :)



~140 programs at size 1



~140 programs at size 1

~19,600 programs at size 2



~140 programs at size 1

~19,600 programs at size 2

~2,744,000 programs at size 3



~140 programs at size 1

~19,600 programs at size 2

~2,744,000 programs at size 3

**EXPLOSION** !

# **Memoization**

• Making enumeration scale

### **Evaluation**

### **Memoization - Prior Work**

- Myth (Osera et. al, PLDI '15) showed memoization is crucial for fast enumerative synthesis
- However, their memoization technique only worked in monomorphic situations

### Memoization - complications with Polymorphism



### Memoization - complications with Polymorphism



Working solution: we ignore the stored type and infer the type at retrieval

### Memoization

### **Evaluation**

### Benchmarking PETSY













### **Evaluation - PETSY memoization**



### **Evaluation - PETSY memoization**



### **Evaluation - PETSY memoization**



# Thank you!